JANUARY 25, 2008

MICHAEL W. DOBBINS

CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION

08 C 568

WILLIAM LAWLOR,)	
	ý	
Plaiutiff,)	
) No.	
vs.	₹	
BEMA POLYTECH, INC.) JURY TRIAL	
Defendant.	Ś	JUDGE LEINENWEBER MAGISTRATE JUDGE COLE

COMPLAINT AGE DISCRIMINATION IN VIOLATION TO THE ADEA

NOW COMES the Plaintiff, WILLIAM LAWLOR ("Plaintiff"), by and through his attorney, MICHAEL T. SMITH & ASSOCIATES, and in complaining of the Defendant, BEMA POLYTECH, INC. (")Defendant"), and states as follows:

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 1. Plaintiff brings this action for benefits under the Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967 (hercinafter sometimes referred to as the ADEA), 29 U.S.C. § 621-634, under the specific provisions of the Age Discrimination in Employment Act, viz. 29 U.S.C. § 626(c).
- Jurisdiction of this action is conferred upon the Court by Section 7(b) of the Age
 Discrimination in Employment Act, (29 U.S.C, § 626 (b) and Venue 28 U.S.C. § 1331.
- The employment practices hereafter alleged to be unlawful were and are now being committed in the Northern District of Illinois, Eastern Division.

PARTIES

4. Plaintiff is an adult person and a resident of Cook County, State of Illinois.

- Plaintiff was an employee of Bema Polytech, Inc., from March 1, 2007 until his wrongful termination September 14, 2007.
- 6. WALGREENS is an employer within the meaning of the Civil Rights Act and 42 U.S.C. 2000(e) et seq., and has been at all times material to the allegations herein.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

- Plaintiff was a Purchasing Manager and was responsible for purchasing for the Defendant.
- At all times relevant, Defendant, has been and is now an Illinois organized corporation, and has continuously had and now have at least <u>100 hundred (100)</u> or more employees.
- Plaintiff was born on January 28, 1945, and falls within the group protected by the ADEA.
- 10. At all times material herein and hereinafter mentioned Defendant Corporation has engaged in and employed its employees in commerce and in the production of goods for commerce between points in several states. The Defendant was, and is, and has been, at all times material herein, engaged in commerce within the meaning of the ADEA.
 - Defendant Corporation is an employer within the meaning of the ADEA.
- 12. Plaintiff was an employee of the Defendant Corporation for approximately 6 1/2 months covering the period from March 1, 2007, until the plaintiff was terminated in violation of the ADEA on September 14, 2007, to-wit: *Plaintiff didn't "fit in."*
- 13. On or about March 1, 2007, and continuously thereafter, while meeting the minimum standards of his employer, the defendant willfully discriminated against

plaintiff because of his age. Plaintiff reached 63 years of age before September 14, 2007.

- As a result of Defendant's actions, the Plaintiff has been deprived of his wages and employment benefits.
- In addition, the Plaintiff seeks to be reinstated, but if he is not reinstated, he will continue to be deprived of wages and employment benefits in the future. The Plaintiff is therefore entitled to an award of front pay if reinstatement is not possible.
- 16. The Defendant's conduct was at all times willful and wanton entitling the Plaintiff to liquidated damages.

PROCEDURAL FACTS

- Plaintiff protested his unlawful termination and on October 17, 2007, filed charges of the discrimination herein alleged with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission at Chicago (EEOC), Illinois. A true and correct copy of the Charge of Discrimination is attached hereto as Exhibit "A" and incorporated herein. Efforts by that agency to obtain voluntary compliance by the Defendant with the Age Discrimination in Employment Act have been unsuccessful thereby causing the EEOC to issue a Notice of Right to Sue letter. A true and correct copy of the Notice of Right to Suc is attached hereto as Exhibit "B" and incorporated herein.
- 18. This action has been timely filed within ninety (90) days of the receipt of the Notice of Right to Sue

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, the plaintiff prays that the court order such relief as is necessary to make the plaintiff whole, including:

Reinstatement or, alternatively, front pay;

- 2. Damages, including loss of pay and benefits;
- 3. Statutory liquidated damages due to the defendant's willful conduct;
- 4. Attorneys' fees and costs incurred in this action
- 5. Such other relief as is just and equitable.
- 6. The plaintiff requests a jury trial of this action.

WILLIAM LAWLOR

Michael T. Smith Trial Attorney

Michael T. Smith 440 W. Irving Park Road Roselle, IL 60172 (847) 895-0626 Attorney Number #6180407IL BEQC Form tex-8 (\$998)

U.S. EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION

	Νοποι	OF RIGHT TO	Sue (Issued of	NREQUEST)	
To: William Lawlor 7920 Sherwood Circle Hanover Park, IL 60133		From:	600 West Madison St Suite 2800		
CER	TIFIED MAIL 7099 3400 0014 40	54 5038		Chicago, IL 60861	
	On behalf of person(s) tegaleved (CONFIDENTIAL (25 CFR §1801.7				
EEOC Chan	ј а No.	EEOC Represent	ative	l'elepho	one Mo.
440-2008	00371	Jerry Zhang, Investigator		(312)	353-7522
	IE PERBON AGGREVED:		(See also	the additional information enclos	sed with this form.)
under Title \ the AQA mit	the Civit Rights Act of 1964 and the ADA based on the set be filled in a federal or statu se lost. (The time limit for filling st	above-rumbered ch court <u>WITHIN 90 I</u>	argo. It has been last 2AYS of your receip	and at your request. Your lawse t of this notice; or your right to	uit under Title VII or
	More than 180 days have pass	ed since the filing of	this charge.		
	Less than 160 days have pass be able to complete its adminis			ve determined that it is untikely i e filing of this charge.	that the EEOC will
The CEOC is terminating its processing of this charge.					
	The EEOC will continue to pro-	cose this charge.			
Age Discrir 90 days afte your case:		s completed action of a	on the charge. In this woult under the ADE/	ny time from 60 deys after the charge of the paragraph market. A must be filled in federal or stated on the above-numbered ch	d below applies to tate court <u>WITHIN</u>
	The EEOC is continuing its he you may file suit in federal or a			0 days have pasted since the fi	iling of the chango,
in federal or	Act (EPA): You already have the state court within 2 years (3 years that occurred more than 2.)	rs for willful violation) of the alleged EPA	underpayment. This means the	
ll you file su	t, başad on this charge, please se	nd a copy of your cor	urt complaint to this oil	ice.	
Enclosures	(3)	Jg.	On behalf of the Con John P. Rovie, District Director	Desse 12/	Obje Melled)
ec:	BEMA POLYTECH, INC				
		_		• • •	eringen i signi preMarin eringen

Case 1:08-cv-00568 Document 1 Filed 01/25/2008 Page 6 of 6 ERDC Form 0 (9501) Charge Presented To: Agency(les) Charge No(a): CHARGE OF DISCRIMINATION This form is affected by the Privacy Act of 1974. See percent Privacy Act **FEPA** Secure and of a information before completing this gove-EECC 440-2008-00371 and EEOC Illinois Department Of Human Rights Sinte or local Agency, if they Name Jukildere Mr., Ms., Mail: Home Phone (fire), Area Code) 마네의 이 의소미 (630) 830-3844 Mr. William Lawtor 01-28-1845 Street Accorda City, State and ZIP Code 7920 Sherwood Circle North, Handver Park, IL 50133 Named is the Employer, Labor Organization, Employment Agency, Appropriately Committee, or State or Local Government Agency That I Bollews Descriptioned Against Ma or Others. [If more than two, Illi unger PARTICULARS below.] No. Employees. Mortoers Phone No. (Include Area Code) **Милю** BEMA POLYTECH, INC 15 - 100 (630) 278-7800 Street Address CBy. State and ZIP Core 744 N Qaklawn Ave, Elmhurst, IL 60126 Мели No Smpligers, Merces Phone No. (Accipée Ares Code) Blister Auch 1964 City, State and ZIP Code OSCRIMINATION BASED ON (Check appropriets box(rs).) DATE/BIOSCRIMMATION TOOK PLACE Leine RACE COLOR **Б**EX RELICION 09-14-2007 NATIONAL ORIGIN 08-14-2007 **ARTALIATION** DIGABILITY CITHER (Specify below.) CONTINUENC ACTION THE PARTICULARS ARE (If additional paper in meeted, attach artic graph all: I was hired by Respondent on or about March 1, 2007. My most recent position was Purchasing Manager. On or about September 14, 2007, I was discharged. I believe I have been discriminated against because of my age, 63 (DOB: 1/28/45), in violation of the Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967, as amended. N WEIVED EFOR OCt 1.7 2007 Greens District OFC NGTARY - When necessary for State and Local Agency Regularization I want this charge filed with both the EEOC and the State or local Agency, I key. I with equips the agencies II i shange his address or phone cumber and I will compact fully with them in the processing of my charge in accombance with their procedures.) several or affirm that (have restd the above charge and that if th the [4]

I dodans under penalty of peguty that the above is true and correct.

the best of my knowledge, information and belief, BIONATURE OF COMPLAINANT

SUBSCHIEGD AND SWORN TO BEFORE ME THIS DATE (mentity days year)

Oct 17, 2007

0

Charging Party Signature